

MINUTES OF PUBLIC MEETING OF THE MARICOPA COUNTY WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD Executive Committee

Tuesday, November 14, 2023 – 10:00 a.m.

https://www.gotomeet.me/MaricopaCountyWDB

Phone: +1 (872) 240-3212; Access Code/Meeting ID: 167-097-701

Members Present: Leah Hill, Bonnie Schirato, Joe Veres, Loren Granger, and Shawn Hutchinson

(Note: All members present attended via GoTo meeting)

Members Absent:

MEETING

1. Call to Order.

Chair Leah Hill called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. and requested a roll call vote.

2. Roll Call.

MCWDB Board Liaison Deseret Romero took roll call. A quorum was present.

3. Welcome and Opening Remarks.

Chair Hill welcomed everyone and read the Maricopa County Workforce Development Board's Vision and Values.

4. Consent Agenda.

- a. Meeting Minutes: August 3, 2023
- b. Monthly Careers Pathway Strategist Reports
- c. FY24 MCWDB 1st Quarter Report
- d. Title IB 1st Quarter Report

MOTION: Chair Hill asked for a motion to approve the Consent Agenda as presented. Shawn Hutchinson made a motion; Bonnie Schirato seconded the motion.

Chair Hill called for an all-in favor vote:

In Favor: Leah Hill, Bonnie Schirato, Joe Veres, and Shawn Hutchinson

Opposed: None **Abstained:** None Motion passed.

5. Chair Report.

Chair Hill provided a brief Chair Report, highlighting the following.

- State Workforce Update

- o Governor's Build It Arizona Program
- o 2024 Minimum Wage Increase (\$0.50 increase)
- Committee Updates
 - o Regional Workforce Initiatives Committee
 - Committee hosted the first committee meeting with great discussion on the focus and development of the Committee.
 - o Youth Committee
 - Opportunity to focus on apprenticeships with the increased traction for apprenticeships.

6. <u>Informational/Discussion/Possible Action.</u>

a. FY25 MCWDB Budget Recommendation

MCWDB Executive Director Steve Clark, provided a brief overview of the FY25 MCWDB Budget Recommendation. Below are a few highlights from Mr. Clark's overview.

FY25 MCWDB Budget Recommendation	
\$95,396 Budget Reduction	An annual reduction in allocations is expected in the upcoming
	fiscal year.
Regular Salaries	Includes a 5% merit increase
Contracts (One-Stop Operator)	Funding is redistributed within the budget. Exploring best-in-
	class ideas for the One-Stop Operator
Next Steps	Will seek December 2023 MCWDB Full Board approval, moving
	forward for annual Board of Supervisors approval in early spring.

MOTION: Chair Hill asked for a motion to approve the FY25 MCWDB Budget Recommendation as presented. Joe Veres made a motion; Shawn Hutchinson seconded the motion.

The Committee posed no questions.

Chair Hill called for an "all in favor" vote:

In Favor: Leah Hill, Bonnie Schirato, Joe Veres, and Shawn Hutchinson

Opposed: None **Abstained:** None Motion passed.

b. Service Provider Agreement

MCWDB Management Analyst Nancy Avina provided a detailed presentation of the Service Provider Agreement (SPA) renewal recommendation. Below are the highlights of the presentation.

Service Provider Agreement Renewal Recommendation			
SPA Background	- SPA Purpose		
	- Renew and approval – 3-year	ars	
	 Renewal Term: Date Signed 	– 12.31.2026	
Summary of Revisions	- Updated agreement term		
	 Confirmed alignment with A 	Arizona Workforce Council policy	
	 Spelling, grammar, punctua 	Spelling, grammar, punctuation, and format revisions	
	 Incorporated leadership and 	- Incorporated leadership and attorney feedback	
Agreement Processing Timeline	<u>COMPLETE</u>	<u>IN PROGRESS</u>	
	 MCWDB staff redline 		
	revisions		

	 Maricopa County leadership review Maricopa County Attorney review 	 MCWDB Executive Committee approval to move forward to MCWDB Full Board MCWDB Full Board approval Board of Supervisors' approval
Next Steps	- 12/2023 Full Board Approval	
	- 12/2023 Board of Supervisors A	pproval

The Committee posed no questions.

MOTION: Chair Hill asked for a motion to approve the Shared Governance Agreement as presented. Bonnie Schirato made a motion; Joe Veres seconded the motion.

Chair Hill called for an "all in favor" vote:

In Favor: Leah Hill, Bonnie Schirato, Joe Veres, Loren Granger, and Shawn Hutchinson

Opposed: None **Abstained:** None Motion passed.

c. One-Stop Operator Contract Renewal

MCWDB Management Analyst Nancy Avina provided a detailed presentation of the One-Stop Operator renewal recommendation. Below are the highlights of the presentation.

One-Stop Operator Contract Renewal Recommendation			
One-Stop Operator	- Equus Workforce Solutions		
	- Contract expires 12.31.2023		
	- Continued Performance Assessment Review		
	 Issuance of Notice of Concern requesting Equus come into 		
	compliance with the scope of work and terms of the contract with		
	corrective action plan.		
Recommendation	- 6-Month Renewal (1.01.24 – 6.31.2024)		
	 Opportunity for Equus to comply with the corrective action plan. 		
	- Opportunity to explore best-in-class OSO ideas		
Next Steps	- 12/2023 Full Board Approval		
	- 12/2023 Letter of Renewal submitted to Office of Procurement		
	Services (OPS)		

The following questions and comments were provided by the Committee.

Question/Comment	Response/Comments
What is the vetting process for the OSO contract?	 WIOA requires a procurement process Staff creates and provides a scope of work to the Office of Procurement Services (OPS) OPS presents an RFP to the eligible vendors The RFP is advertised to ensure transparency Selection committee reviews the submitted bids for the selection of the best bid

Is there an opportunity for Equus to correct the	Yes, a performance assessment has been created by staff that
performance issues?	breaks down each section of the contract that needs correction.
	The performance assessment has been shared with Equus and
	OPS. A response was received a few minutes prior to this
	meeting.

MOTION: Chair Hill asked for a motion to approve the One-Stop Operator Contract Renewal as presented. Shawn Hutchinson made a motion; Joe Veres seconded the motion.

Chair Hill called for an "all in favor" vote:

In Favor: Leah Hill, Bonnie Schirato, Joe Veres, Loren Granger, and Shawn Hutchinson

Opposed: None **Abstained:** None Motion passed.

d. <u>US Department of Labor Report and Response Plan</u>

MCWDB Executive Director Steve Clark will provide an update on the Monitoring Report received from US Department of Labor and the proposed Response Plan. Below are the highlights of the presentation.

OOL/ETA Summary	Grants/Program Review				
•	Time Period Covered	_			
	Purpose				
	Entities Involved	•			
Findings Summary	<u>FINDINGS</u>	CORRECTIVE ACTION			
	Finding #2:	Ensure compliance with sunshine provision			
	LWDB's Noncompliant	requirement			
	Sunshine Provision				
	Finding #3:	Include notice of federal funding;			
	Noncompliant Stevens	Train staff in funding disclosure			
	Amendment	process/requirements			
	Finding #4:	Provide Regional Office with documentation			
	Lack of One-Stop Center	 completed one-stop assessments and 			
	Assessments & Missing AJC	certifications;			
	Branding Requirements	EV-Provide signage exterior and front			
		entrances;			
		Implement provision of UI			
	Finding #6:	Confirm zero cost solicitations;			
	Noncompliant OSO	Resubmit fully-compliant bids			
	Procurement				
	Finding: #7:	Update contracts for sub-recipients;			
	Noncompliant Sub-Award	Update policies and procedures			
	Agreement				
	Finding #10:	Make corrections;			
	ADA Accessibility at One Stops	Ensure accessibility;			
		Submit documentation			
	Finding #11:	Update complaint process including appeals;			
	Insufficient MOU	Submit for approval			
Next Steps	10.25.23 Collaborated with DES	on Next Steps			

10.29.23 DES Responds to DOL 09.2024 Target date to remedy all findings

The following questions and comments were provided by the Committee.

Question/Comment	Response/Comments
Were there any findings that you felt were	After review, all findings appear to be minor, with no significant
concerning?	findings.
Pleased to see that all of the findings are minor and	
no significant findings were found.	

MOTION: Chair Hill asked for a motion to accept the US Department of Labor Report and Response Plan as presented. Bonnie Schirato made a motion; Loren Granger seconded the motion.

Chair Hill called for an "all in favor" vote:

In Favor: Leah Hill, Bonnie Schirato, Joe Veres, Loren Granger, and Shawn Hutchinson

Opposed: None **Abstained:** None Motion passed.

7. Information/Discussion Only.

a. Program Year (PY) 2021/Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Redistribution and Priority of Service

MCWDB Executive Director Steve Clark and Workforce Development Division Assistant Director Jared Beard, provided an update on the Program Year (PY) 2021 Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Redistribution and Priority of Service. Below are the highlights of the presentation.

PY21/FY22 Redistribution		Alert Allocation Increase Summary			
		YT	AD	DW	Total
	NEAZ	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Cochise	\$51,718	\$50,411	\$84,300	\$186,429
	Coconino	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Maricopa	\$518,203	\$483,834	\$619,524	\$1,621,561
	Mohave	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Phoenix	\$428,540	\$404,788	\$397,748	\$1,231,076
	Pima	\$292,486	\$273,526	\$298,858	\$864,870
	Pinal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Santa Cruz	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Tribal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Yavapai	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Yuma	\$458,642	\$428,720	\$208,702	\$1,096,064
	Total	\$1,749,589	\$1,641,279	\$1,609,132	\$5,000,000
Priority of Service Matrix	Priority 1		Priorit	ty 2	
	Veterans & elig	Veterans & eligible spouses		Non-veterans & Non-eligible	
	of Veterans wh	of Veterans who are		spouses of veterans who are	
	determined to	determined to be low-income		determined low-income or	
	or basic skills d	or basic skills deficient (BSD).		basic skills deficient (BSD)	

	Priority 3	Priority 4
	Veterans and eligible	All other WIOA Eligible
	spouses of veterans who are	Adults who are not low
	not considered low-income	income or basic skills
	or basic skills deficient	deficient (BSD)
Priority of Service – Implementation	November 2022	January 2023
	Policy Released	Apprenticeship Convening
	July 2023	October 2023
	WIOA Allocations	Budget Reconciliation
	Semester 2 Budgets	
Participant Funding	WIOA Funded	ARPA Funded

The following questions and comments were provided by the Committee.

Question/Comment	Response/Comments
Who determines not to use Priority 4?	Due to the anticipated budget reductions, the policy was created to focus on the primary priorities. The funding is usually occupied or utilized by those primary priorities. Not an exclusion policy, when budget funding is available.
Will be reviewing performance program data as the funding becomes limited due to the high apprenticeship demands. Anticipate sharing with the Committee and Ful Board in the future	

8. Call to the Public.

No one spoke.

9. Adjourn.

Chair Hill thanked everyone for attending and adjourned the meeting at 11:04 a.m.

^{*}For additional information, contact MCWDB staff at: <u>MCWDB@maricopa.gov</u>